## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-41137 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JOSÉ LUIS WEAVER,

Defendant-Appellant.

Before JOLLY, BENAVIDES, and PARKER, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

José Luis Weaver appeals the district court's denial of his motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Weaver argued in the district court that his attorneys performed ineffectively in preparing for trial, by failing to explain his plea agreement; by failing to require the Government to honor the agreement, and for failing to object to the alleged breach of the agreement at sentencing; that the Government breached the plea agreement; and that his plea was not

 $<sup>^*</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

knowing and voluntary. Weaver has abandoned his challenge to the

voluntariness of his plea by failing to argue the issue in this court. FED. R. APP. P. 28(a)(6). Because Weaver does not challenge the voluntariness of his plea, he has waived his arguments that counsel was ineffective for failing to evaluate his case, consult with him on tactical decisions, and explain the proposed plea bargain. <u>See Nelson v. Hargett</u>, 989 F.2d 847, 850 (5th Cir. 1993). Having reviewed the record and the briefs of the parties, we HOLD that, as the Government did not breach the plea agreement, counsel was not ineffective for failing to object to the alleged breach. <u>See United States v. Garcia-Bonilla</u>, 11 F.3d 45, 46 (5th Cir. 1993).

AFFIRMED.