

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 96-30782  
Summary Calendar

---

JO ANNE CARTER,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

VERSUS

JAIL OF CADDO PARISH, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Louisiana  
(94-CV-926-S)

---

November 7, 1996

Before SMITH, DUHÉ, and BARKSDALE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Jo Anne Carter appeals the dismissal, as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i), of her prisoner's civil rights suit. She alleged a myriad of constitutional violations, including denial of access to the courts, denial of visiting privileges with her children, deprivation of property, denial of medical care, denial

---

\* Pursuant to 5<sup>TH</sup> CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5<sup>TH</sup> CIR. R. 47.5.4.

of her right to practice religion, conditions of confinement, harassment, and verbal abuse. She also alleged claims based on the treatment received by other inmates.

On appeal, Carter does little more than provide a conclusional list of some of the claims she asserted in the district court. She provides no facts in support or arguments in opposition to the dismissal.

Even *pro se* litigants must brief arguments in order to preserve them. *Yohey v. Collins*, 985 F.2d 222, 224-25 (5th Cir. 1993). Issues not raised or briefed on appeal are deemed abandoned. *Evans v. City of Marlin, Tex.*, 986 F.2d 104, 106 n.1 (5th Cir. 1993).

Accordingly, the appeal is DISMISSED as frivolous. See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. All pending motions are DENIED.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Given the lack of substantive merit of this appeal, we pretermit the question of the applicability of the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995.