IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

	No. 96-20502 Summary Calendar	
UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA,	
		Plaintiff-Appellee,
	versus	
RAY LATSON,		
		Defendant-Appellant.
	Appeal from the United States District Co for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. CR-H-95-174-3	urt
Before DAVIS, EMILI	March 12, 1997 O M. GARZA and STEWART, Circuit Judge	es.

Ray Latson appeals his conviction for conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute crack cocaine and aiding and abetting same. He argues that he was entrapped by the Drug Enforcement Agency. Accepting every fact in the light most favorable to the jury's guilty verdict, the evidence established that a rational jury could have found beyond a reasonable doubt that Latson was

PER CURIAM:*

^{*} Pursuant to Local Rule 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in Local Rule 47.5.4.

predisposed to engage in the criminal conduct at issue. An officer acting undercover did no more than provide Latson with an opportunity to break the law, and Latson readily accepted the opportunity. Latson's lack of reluctance to engage in criminal conduct was sufficient to demonstrate predisposition. See United States v. Byrd, 31 F.3d 1329, 1334-35 (5th Cir. 1994), cert. denied, 115 S. Ct. 1432 (1995).

There is no plain error concerning the alleged sentencing- factor manipulation, see <u>United States v. Vital</u>, 68 F.3d 114, 119 (5th Cir. 1995); <u>United States v. Garcia</u>, 79 F.3d 74, 75 (7th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 117 S. Ct. 158 (1996), or the jury's inquiry regarding entrapment. <u>See Byrd</u>, 31 F.3d at 1335; <u>United States v. Calverly</u>, 37 F.3d 160, 162 (5th Cir. 1994) (en banc), <u>cert.</u> denied, 115 S. Ct. 1266 (1995).

AFFIRMED.