IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-20409 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

BRIAN KEITH BABIN,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. H-92-CR-156-1 March 28, 1997

Before JONES, DeMOSS, and PARKER, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

Brian Keith Babin, #59963-079, appeals the district court's summary denial of his motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Babin's claims that the court lacked jurisdiction over his drug-trafficking offenses because such offenses did not affect interstate commerce is without merit. <u>United States v. Owens</u>, 996 F.2d 59, 61 (5th Cir. 1993). His counsel was thus not ineffective in failing to challenge jurisdiction or in failing to request a special jury

^{*} Pursuant to Local Rule 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in Local Rule 47.5.4.

instruction regarding jurisdiction. Babin's Double Jeopardy Clause argument fails both under Fifth Circuit precedent, <u>United</u> <u>States v. Arreola-Ramos</u>, 60 F.3d 188, 192 (5th Cir. 1995) and under the intervening case of <u>United States v. Ursery</u>, 116 S. Ct. 2135, 2147-49 (1996).

AFFIRMED.