## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-10999

\_\_\_\_

ANDREW L. SMITH,

Plaintiff-Counter Defendant-Appellee-Cross Appellant,

**VERSUS** 

CLAYTON J. SMITH, et al.,

Defendants,

CLAYTON J. SMITH,

MARK L. SMITH,

and

SMITH PROTECTIVE SERVICES, INC.,

Defendants-Counter Claimants-Appellants-Cross Appellees.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (3:92-CV-170-D)

\_\_\_\_

November 17, 1997

Before WISDOM, SMITH, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Pursuant to 5<sub>TH</sub> C<sub>IR</sub>. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5<sub>TH</sub> C<sub>IR</sub>. R. 47.5.4.

We have reviewed the briefs and pertinent portions of the record and have heard the arguments of counsel, regarding both the appeal and the cross-appeal. We are convinced that the district court committed no reversible error and did a commendable job of sorting out and ruling on the various claims and disputes in this case. We are hopeful that this seemingly endless litigation will soon come to a close.

The judgment is AFFIRMED.