## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-60560 Conference Calendar

JOHNNY HARPER,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

RICHARD MARTIN; JIM MORRIS, STAFF ATTORNEY,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi USDC No. 2:95-CV-199-PS December 19, 1995 Before DAVIS, STEWART, and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Appellant Johnny Harper appeals from the district court's <u>sua sponte</u> dismissal of his civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, as frivolous.

On appeal, Harper does not challenge the district court's determination that the defendants were not involved in the dismissal of his state circuit court complaint. Issues not

<sup>\*</sup> Local Rule 47.5.1 provides: "The publication of opinions that merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

raised on appeal are abandoned. <u>Hobbs v. Blackburn</u>, 752 F.2d 1079, 1083 (5th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 474 U.S. 838 (1985).

Harper argues on appeal that the court should have allowed him to substitute the state circuit court judge as the proper defendant. Neither the district court nor this court has authority to review a final order of a state court. <u>Rooker v.</u> <u>Fidelity Trust Co.</u>, 263 U.S. 413, 416 (1923); <u>District of</u> <u>Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman</u>, 460 U.S. 462, 482-87 (1983). Because the complaint, if amended, remains subject to dismissal, the district court did not abuse its discretion in <u>sua</u> <u>sponte</u> dismissing Harper's complaint without first allowing him to amend his complaint. <u>Pan-Islamic Trade Corp. v. Exxon Corp.</u>, 632 F.2d 539, 546 (5th Cir. 1980), <u>cert. denied</u>, 454 U.S. 927 (1981). Accordingly, Harper's appeal is dismissed as frivolous. <u>See</u> 5th Cir. R. 42.2.

We caution Harper that any additional frivolous appeals filed by him will invite the imposition of sanctions. To avoid sanctions, Harper is further cautioned to review all pending appeals to ensure that they do not raise arguments that are frivolous because they have been previously decided by this court.

APPEAL DISMISSED.