

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 95-40926  
Summary Calendar

---

ROGER M. LANCE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

PORT OF CORPUS CHRISTI  
AUTHORITY,

Defendant-Appellee.

- - - - -  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. C-95-CV-229  
- - - - -

September 20, 1996

Before JONES, DeMOSS, and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Roger M. Lance argues that the district court erred in granting the defendant's summary judgment motion and dismissing his claims for defamation, retaliatory discharge, and a violation of the Family Medical Leave Act.

We have reviewed the record, the opinion of the district court, and the briefs, and find, substantially for the reasons relied upon by the district court, that the district court did

---

\* Pursuant to Local Rule 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in Local Rule 47.5.4.

not err in granting summary judgment and dismissing Lance's claim for retaliatory discharge and his claim that the defendant violated the FMLA. See Lance v. Port of Corpus Christ, No. C-95-CV-229 (S.D. Tex. Oct. 18, 1995).

Our review of the record reveals that Lance's defamation claim is barred by governmental immunity, and, thus, the district court did not err in granting summary judgment and dismissing the defamation claim. See Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Ann. §§ 101.021 (West 1986).

AFFIRMED.