IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-40586 Conference Calendar

RONALD CARPENTER,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

B. KINNEY, Officer, Coffield Unit

Defendant-Appellee

and

J. W. SHAW, Warden, Coffield Unit,

Defendant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 6:94-CV-506 December 21, 1995 Before DAVIS, STEWART, and PARKER, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

Ronald Carpenter moves this court for the production of the transcript of his trial at the expense of the government. On appeal, Carpenter argues that the magistrate judge gave improper weight to witness testimony and made incorrect credibility findings. We dispense with further briefing because we have

^{*} Local Rule 47.5.1 provides: "The publication of opinions that merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

reviewed the record and the magistrate judge's opinion, which includes detailed findings of fact, and determined that Carpenter's sole appellate contention is legally meritless; therefore, Carpenter's motion for a transcript at government expense is DENIED and the appeal is DISMISSED. <u>See</u> 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.