## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 95-40403 Summary Calendar

SAMUEL JOHN MAJOR DAVIS, JR.,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

U.S. PAROLE COMMISSION,

Respondent-Appellee,

and

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,

Respondent.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. C-94-CV-312

- - - - - - - - -

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

December 19, 1995

Before JOLLY, JONES and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:\*

Samuel John Major Davis, Jr., appeals from the district court's order denying his petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Davis argues that the Parole Commission violated its guidelines in delaying his parole hearings and in calculating his guideline range. He also argues that the district court erred in failing

<sup>\*</sup> Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

to require the respondent to respond to all his claims and in determining that 18 U.S.C. §§ 4205 and 4206 were not implicitly repealed by the Comprehensive Crime Control Act of 1984. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for essentially the reasons given by the district court. Davis v. United States Parole Commission, No. C-94-312 (S.D. Tex. April 18, 1995).

AFFIRMED.