IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-40112 Conference Calendar

ERIC ANTONIO HOWARD,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

TIM TAYLOR,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 2:94-CV-117

- - - - - - - - -

June 30, 1995

Before JONES, WIENER, and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Appellant Eric Antonio Howard appeals the district court's dismissal of his civil rights action against Tim Taylor, county attorney for Titus County, Texas, as frivolous. Howard argues that Taylor failed to perform his duty under article 2.03(a) of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure when he refused to present before the grand jury evidence of wrongdoing by other officers.

Prosecutors are immune from § 1983 suits for acts that are within the scope of their prosecutorial duties. <u>Imbler v.</u>

^{*} Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

Pachtman, 424 U.S. 409, 431 (1976). Prosecutorial immunity has been extended to a prosecutor's actions in initiating, investigating, and pursuing a criminal prosecution. Cook v. Houston Post, 616 F.2d 791, 793 (5th Cir. 1980); McGruder v. Necaise, 733 F.2d 1146, 1148 (5th Cir. 1984). Quasi-judicial conduct, such as a prosecutor's decision whether to file criminal charges, is also immune. Oliver v. Collins, 904 F.2d 278, 281 (5th Cir. 1990); Chrissy F. v. Mississippi Dep't of Pub. Welfare, 925 F.2d 844, 850 (5th Cir. 1992).

The prosecutor's duty to present by information evidence of neglect is not distinct from or independent of his decision to file criminal charges. Because that decision falls within his scope of prosecutorial duties, he is absolutely immune from liability.

Although neither absolute nor qualified immunity extends to suits for injunctive or declaratory relief, <u>Chrissy F.</u>, 925 F.2d at 849, Howard is not entitled to such relief because there is no constitutionally protected right to have any other person criminally prosecuted. <u>Sattler v. Johnson</u>, 857 F.2d 224, 227 (4th Cir. 1988). Accordingly, the district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing Howard's complaint, and its judgment is AFFIRMED.