IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-60562 Conference Calendar

FRANK HANNER, JR.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi USDC No. 3:93-CV-757

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

(November 16, 1994)

Before JONES, DUHÉ, and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Frank Hanner, Jr., appeals from the district court's judgment dismissing his civil rights action as frivolous. Hanner makes no cogent or comprehensible appellate argument addressing the district court's analysis. As is his custom, Hanner presents a lengthy brief which contains a collection of altered pages that he has used in other cases. Nothing in his brief can be construed as a challenge to the district court's dismissal of the action as duplicative. There is no showing that the district

^{*} Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

court abused its discretion in dismissing this frivolous complaint. See Ancar v. Sara Plasma, Inc., 964 F.2d 465, 468 (5th Cir. 1992).

The appeal is without arguable merit and thus frivolous.

Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983). Because the appeal is frivolous, it is DISMISSED. 5th Cir. R. 42.2. Although this appeal was filed before we imposed sanctions on September 21, 1994, we caution Hanner to review his records and withdraw any pending frivolous appeals.