



correctly relied on Caulley as determining the level of proof needed to prove abandonment. See Caulley, 806 S.W.2d at 797. Thus, this contention is without merit.

Soddy also contends that the bankruptcy court erred in finding that he had abandoned his homestead. After reviewing the testimony and evidence presented at trial, we hold that the bankruptcy court's findings are not clearly erroneous. See In re Compton, 891 F.2d 1180, 1183 (5th Cir. 1990)(holding that the findings of the bankruptcy court will not be disturbed in the absence of clear error). Thus, this contention is without merit.

A determination on these two issues pretermits any need to discuss the other issues raised in appellant's brief.

AFFIRMED.