

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 94-60181

Summary Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

DEBRA RUTH LEININGER,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
(CR G 93 2 1)

---

(May 9, 1995)

Before GARWOOD, HIGGINBOTHAM, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Because this case is not complex and no special circumstances existed, the trial court did not abuse its discretion by giving only a general unanimity instruction. United States v. Holley, 942 F.2d 916, 925-26 (5th Cir. 1991). In addition, the evidence was sufficient to support the convictions on all eight counts. Leininger asks us to overrule United States v. Patterson, 837 F.2d

---

\*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

182 (5th Cir. 1988), but one panel of this circuit lacks the power to overrule another. Finally, Leininger's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel is conclusory and makes no showing of prejudice. AFFIRMED.