

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-50703

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

IAN JAMES HOLBS,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for
the Western District of Texas
(A 90 CR 140)

October 2, 1995

Before REAVLEY, JOLLY and WIENER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The order of the district court denying reduction of sentence is affirmed for the reason that appellant's motion is not directed to a change in the sentencing guidelines modifying sentencing range and is therefore not properly urged under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). See United States v. Shaw, 30 F.3d 26, 29 (5th Cir. 1994).

*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

AFFIRMED.