

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-41287
Conference Calendar

JOSEPH H. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

BERNIE L. BUSH, Captain, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

- - - - -
Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 6:93-CV-775
- - - - -

June 25, 1996

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, BARKSDALE, and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Joseph H. Williams, #604580, appeals the dismissal of his pro se and in forma pauperis civil rights suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Williams argues, inter alia, that he was denied due process with respect to the disciplinary hearing held for disciplinary report No. 93-0165721. Williams was punished with 30 days of recreational restriction, commissary restriction, property restriction, and special cell restriction. This is not

* Pursuant to Local Rule 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in Local Rule 47.5.4.

sufficient to trigger a liberty interest protected by due process. See Luken v. Scott, 71 F.3d 192, 192-93 (5th Cir. 1995); Sandin v. Conner, 115 S. Ct. 2293 (1995).

Although the district court made findings of fact to conclude that Williams had not shown a constitutional violation, this court may affirm on the above alternate grounds - that Williams's complaint had no basis in law or fact under Sandin and Luken. See Sojourner T. v. Edwards, 974 F.2d 27, 30 (5th Cir. 1992) (court may affirm judgment on any basis supported by the record), cert. denied, 507 U.S. 972 (1993); see also Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989)

AFFIRMED.