

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-41263
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JOSE ESTUPINAN, a/k/a
JOSEPH (LNU), and
JOSE ABEL DIAZ,

Defendants-Appellants.

- - - - -
Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:94-CR-24-8 & 5
- - - - -
(September 25, 1995)

Before GARWOOD, WIENER and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Court-appointed counsels for Jose Estupinan and Jose Abel Diaz have filed motions to withdraw and supporting briefs as required by Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and we have independently reviewed the brief and record and found no nonfrivolous issue. Accordingly, the two counsel are excused from further responsibilities herein and the APPEAL is DISMISSED as to Estupinan and Diaz.

* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

FURTHERMORE, Diaz's motion for appointment of counsel is DENIED as unnecessary.