

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
For the Fifth Circuit

No. 94-40763
Summary Calendar

ROBERT RANTI EHUWA,

Petitioner,

VERSUS

IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE,

Respondent.

Petition for Review of an Order of the
Immigration and Naturalization Service

(A26 087 666)

(December 23, 1994)

Before KING, JOLLY and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Petitioner appeals from an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA") entered on July 19, 1994 which affirmed an Order of the Immigration Judge dated March 8, 1990 which determined that the Petitioner had abandoned his claim for adjustment of status by failing to file the necessary applications for such benefits within

* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

the time period specified by the immigration judge. This court is authorized to review only the order of the BIA. Castillo-Rodriguez v. INS, 929 F.2d 181, 183 (5th Cir. 1991). Consequently, the errors or other failings of the immigration judge are considered only if they have some effect on the BIA's order. Adebisi v. INS, 952 F.2d 910, 912 (5th Cir. 1992). Moreover, we review the BIA's factual determinations only to determine whether they are supported by substantial evidence. Zamora-Morel v. INS, 905 F.2d 833, 838 (5th Cir. 1990).

We have carefully reviewed the briefs, the reply brief, the record excerpts and relevant portions of the record and have concluded that substantial evidence supports the conclusion of the BIA that Petitioner abandoned his claim for adjustment of status. Accordingly, the order of the BIA is AFFIRMED.