

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-40724

(Summary Calendar)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ALBERT JACKSON,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
For the Western District of Louisiana
(6:92-CR-60032)

(April 27, 1995)

Before SMITH, EMILIO M. GARZA, and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Court-appointed counsel for Albert Jackson has filed a brief as required by *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967), and we have independently reviewed the brief and record and have found no nonfrivolous issue. Jackson's ineffective assistance of counsel claim is similarly meritless.¹

* Local Rule 47.5.1 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

¹ Although we generally do not resolve claims of ineffective assistance of counsel on direct appeal, we have done so in rare cases where the trial record was sufficiently developed to allow us to evaluate fairly the merits of the claim. *United States v. Gracia*, 983 F.2d 625, 630 n.25 (5th Cir. 1993).

Accordingly, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the appeal is DISMISSED.

Jackson's claim rests entirely on counsel's refusal to advance frivolous objections, which does not constitute ineffective assistance of counsel, *Clark v. Collins*, 19 F.3d 959, 966 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, ___ U.S. ___, 115 S. Ct. 432, 130 L. Ed. 2d 344 (1994).