

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

S)))))))))Q
No. 94-30705
S)))))))))Q

AGUSTIN R. GUITART,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

JAMES R. HAMBRIC, III, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

S)))))))))Q

Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Middle District of Louisiana
(CA-91-452-A-M1)
S)))))))))Q
(June 12, 1995)

Before WISDOM, GARWOOD and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:

After considering the briefs, oral arguments, and the record, this Court concludes that plaintiff-appellant has demonstrated no reversible error in the district court's grant of the motion for summary judgment of the defendants. We are in essential agreement with the reasons given by the district court with respect to

* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

plaintiff's whistleblower claim under 12 U.S.C. § 1441a(q). Further, essentially for the reasons stated by the district court, and also for the reasons stated by this Court in respect to plaintiff's prior law suit, *Guitart v. United States*, No. 92-3934 (5th Cir. August 19, 1993) (unpublished), the plaintiff's remaining claims are precluded by the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978. Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is

AFFIRMED.