## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 94-30604 Conference Calendar

STATE OF LOUISIANA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JACQUELINE CARR,

Defendant-Appellant.

- - - - - - - - -

June 29, 1995

Before JONES, WIENER, and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Although 28 U.S.C. § 1446 requires that a notice of removal be signed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 for removal of both criminal and civil proceedings, Fed. R. Crim. P. 54(b) states that the rules of criminal procedure "govern all procedure after removal[.]" There is no equivalent to a Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion under the rules of criminal procedure. Accordingly, Jacqueline Carr's postremand "Motion for Entry of Final Judgment of Remand Rule 54(A), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Motion

<sup>\*</sup> Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

for Recusal," filed nearly four months after the district court's final order, have no basis in either rule or statute.

This appeal lacks arguable merit and is, therefore, frivolous. Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983). All of Carr's other motions and filings pertaining to this case are DENIED as moot.

Carr is BARRED from filing any pleadings or documents of any kind, either in the district courts of this circuit or in this court, without advance written permission of a judge of the forum court of this court. Any attempt by Carr to file frivolous pleadings in the future will result in further sanctions.

APPEAL DISMISSED. See 5th Cir. R. 42.2.