

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
For the Fifth Circuit

---

NO. 93-3776

---

ROY A. EDWARDS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

NESTLÉ BEVERAGE CO., ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

NO. 94-30039

---

ROY A. EDWARDS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

NESTLÉ BEVERAGE CO., and LOCAL 270  
INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS,  
CHAUFFEURS, WAREHOUSEMEN AND HELPERS  
OF AMERICA, AFL-CIO,

Defendants-Appellees.

\*\*\*\*\*

ROY A. EDWARDS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

NESTLE HILLS BROTHERS CO., INC.  
WILLIAM E. MOHME, and NESTLÉ BEVERAGE  
CO. (erroneously referred to as Nestle  
Hills Brothers Co., Inc.),

Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeals from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Louisiana

---

(CA-92-1455 "D" c/w 92-1631 "D")

(December 20, 1994)

Before REYNALDO G. GARZA, DeMOSS, and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

These related cases involve a series of allegations consisting of race and sex discrimination, retaliatory and wrongful discharge, violation of a collective bargaining agreement, and violation of Local 270's duty of fair representation. After a summary judgment in favor of the defendants, the district court awarded costs and attorney fees to the defendants. Appellant now brings this consolidated appeal before this Court. After having read the record and the briefs and having heard oral argument we find Appellant's arguments to be without merit as the court did not commit any error of fact or of law.

AFFIRMED.

---

\*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.