## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-20903 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

GERARDO OBANDO,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. CR-H-94-123-3 August 23, 1995 Before KING, JOLLY, and WIENER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Gerardo Obando has appealed his sentence, raising one issue, whether he was entitled to a two-level reduction in offense level because he was a minor participant in the criminal enterprise. A sentence imposed under the Sentencing Guidelines will be upheld if it is the result of the correct application of the Guidelines to factual findings that are not clearly erroneous. <u>United States v. Zuniga</u>, 18 F.3d 1254, 1261 (5th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 115 S. Ct. 214 (1994). A factual finding is not clearly

<sup>\*</sup>Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

erroneous if it is plausible in light of the record read as a whole. <u>Id.</u> The determination of the defendant's role in the offense is factual in nature, and is reviewed for clear error. <u>Id.</u>

A district court must reduce by two levels if the defendant was a minor participant. U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2; see United States v. Gadison, 8 F.3d 186, 197 (5th Cir. 1993). The defendant bears the burden of proving that his role in the offense was minor. United States v. Brown, 7 F.3d 1155, 1160 n.2 (5th Cir. 1993). In making the determination, the court must take into account the broad context of the defendant's crime. United States v. Buenrostro, 868 F.2d 135, 138 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 495 U.S. 923 (1990). A defendant should be considered a minor participant if he is "less culpable than most other participants, but [his] role could not be described as minimal." § 3B1.2, comment. (n.3). A defendant is not entitled to a minor participant reduction unless he is substantially less culpable than the average participant. Gadison, 8 F.3d at 197. The fact that other co-defendants were more culpable does not automatically qualify a defendant for minor participant status. See United States v. Thomas, 963 F.2d 63, 65 (5th Cir. 1992). The testimony presented at the suppression hearing reveals that Obando's role in the criminal enterprise was more significant than that of a mere courier. The district court's refusal to give Obando a § 3B1.2(b) adjustment was not clearly erroneous. See Buenrostro, 868 F.2d at 138.

AFFIRMED.