

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-20768
Summary Calendar

CASSONDRA LLOYD, on her own and on behalf
of her minor children, Candice, Jesse, and
Zoebee Lloyd and CLAUDE HUGH LLOYD,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

versus

BRIAN D. COYNE,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Southern District of Texas
(CA-H-94-3214)

(December 22, 1994)

Before KING, JOLLY, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Claude Hugh Lloyd, Jr., and Cassondra Lloyd, on their own behalf and on behalf of their minor children, filed a suit in Texas state court alleging that Claude Lloyd's appointed counsel, Brian D. Coyne, conspired with the state prosecuting attorney and the state court judge to deprive Lloyd of, among other things, his constitutional right to a fair trial. The plaintiffs then filed a

*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

"petition for removal of state civil action" asserting they could not enforce their federally protected civil rights in state court. The district court dismissed the action "[b]ecause only Defendants have the right to remove cases, 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), and because this Court has no jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims against Brian D. Coyne."

The district court correctly concluded that removal of this case from state court was improper, and we AFFIRM the dismissal of this action on that basis.

A F F I R M E D.