## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 94-20452 Conference Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

RAMON X. EVANS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

CAPTAIN RICE ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. CA H 92-0227

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

(January 24, 1995)

Before POLITZ, Chief Judge, and HIGGINBOTHAM and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Ramon X. Evans' claims of improper medical classification, inappropriate work assignment, due process violations and excessive force are time-barred because each of the alleged violations occurred more than two years prior to the date he filed suit. Gartrell v. Gaylor, 981 F.2d 254, 256 (5th Cir. 1993); Burrell v. Newsome, 883 F.2d 416, 418 (5th Cir. 1989).

Evans' claim concerning the denial of additional good-time credit is not cognizable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 at this time

<sup>\*</sup> Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

because he has offered no proof that he has challenged the disciplinary committee's action in state court or in a federal habeas proceeding. See Heck v. Humphrey, \_\_\_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_\_\_, 114 S. Ct. 2364, 2372, 129 L. Ed. 2d 383 (1994).

AFFIRMED.