

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-10999
Summary Calendar

FRANK D. ALLISON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
A Division of Halliburton Co.,
f/k/a Otis Engineering Corporation,

Defendant-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
(3:93 CV 962 J)

June 22, 1995

Before JONES, BARKSDALE and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Pro se appellant Allison challenges the district court's grant of summary judgment against his claims of racial discrimination and retaliation in his former employer's employment practices. Because his brief utterly fails to comply with the

* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

standards of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and with this court's rules, we dismiss the appeal.

Allison's brief consists of a statement of facts without any record citations, and a recitation of the standard of review for summary judgment and Title VII claims. Allison does not, however, apply the law to his particular facts. He has defaulted his case on appeal by failing to comply with Fed. R. App. P. 28 (a)(4) and (6) and Fifth Circuit Local Rules 28.2.3 and 42.3.2, and he has abandoned his claims by failing to brief them adequately. See Brinkman v. Dallas County Deputy Sheriff Abner, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987) (general arguments giving only broad standards of review and not citing to specific errors are insufficient to preserve issues for appeal).

Accordingly, the appeal is DISMISSED.