

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 94-10901  
Summary Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

LEANNA LOUISE HOYT and  
ROY EDWARD SCHWASINGER,

Defendants-Appellants.

- - - - -  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 2:93-CR-32  
- - - - -

January 22, 1996  
Before WIENER, PARKER and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Pro se, LeAnna L. Hoyt and Roy E. Schwasinger appeal their convictions for conspiracy and obstruction of justice. We have reviewed each issue that they have properly raised.

Their conduct was subject to criminal prosecution. 18 U.S.C. § 1503(a). They have not shown that an apparent typographical error should invalidate the search warrant or that

---

\* Pursuant to Local Rule 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in Local Rule 47.5.4.

the search did not comply with Fed. R. Crim. P. 41 or an exception thereto. See, e.g., United States v. Russell, 960 F.2d 421, 423 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 113 S. Ct. 407 (1992); United States v. Giwa, 831 F.2d 538, 543-44 (5th Cir. 1987). The district court did not reversibly err in misstating the nature of the offense. United States v. Allred, 867 F.2d 856, 866 & n.19 (5th Cir. 1989).

The appellants' failure to raise in the district court the same grounds upon which they now challenge the effectiveness of counsel precludes our consideration of their arguments. United States v. Gibson, 55 F.3d 173, 179 (5th Cir. 1995). All of their other issues are unreviewable for lack of proper argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 28(a)(6).

The judgment is AFFIRMED. All outstanding motions are DENIED.