

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-10453
Conference Calendar

GLORIA CARTER,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

DALLAS COUNTY DISTRICT
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

- - - - -
Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 3:89-CV-1171-X
- - - - -

(March 22, 1995)

Before GARWOOD, BARKSDALE, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Because she filed burdensome and irrelevant postjudgment motions, the district court enjoined Gloria Carter from making further filings other than a notice of appeal. Carter filed a notice of appeal specifically challenging that order and thereafter filed an appellate brief challenging the dismissal of her case for failure to state a claim for which relief may be granted.

* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

When an appellant notices the appeal of a specific judgment only, we have "no jurisdiction to review other judgments or issues which are not expressly referred to and which are not impliedly intended for appeal." Capital Parks v. Southeastern Advertising, 30 F.3d 627, 630 (5th Cir. 1994). Thus, the only issue we have jurisdiction to entertain is whether the district court erred in restricting Carter's postjudgment filings. Carter has not briefed this issue on appeal. Issues which are not briefed are waived. Brinkmann v. Dallas County Deputy Sheriff Abner, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987).

APPEAL DISMISSED.