IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-10430

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

VERSUS

MARIO HERNANDEZ and TOM B. STACK,

Defendants-Appellants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (3:92-CR-381-R(03))

(April 6, 1995)

Before JOLLY, SMITH, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Having reviewed the briefs, relevant authorities, and applicable portions of the record, and having heard the arguments of counsel, we find no reversible error. Specifically, we conclude that the permitted cross-examination was adequate, that there was no reversible prosecutorial misconduct, that there was no inadmis-

^{*} Local Rule 47.5.1 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

sible extrinsic evidence, and that the sentencing guidelines were properly applied.

The judgments of conviction and sentence are AFFIRMED.