IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-10362 Conference Calendar

CLYDE WAYNE STUART,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

LAWRENCE BRUMLEY, Detective and OTHER UNKNOWN POLICE OFFICERS OF THE DALLAS POLICE DEP'T, Six,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 93-CV-221-R

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

(July 22, 1994)

Before POLITZ, Chief Judge, and JOLLY and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Clyde Wayne Stuart returns to this Court and argues that the district court abused its discretion in denying his motion for appointment of counsel and in failing to give reasons for the denial of the motion in accord with <u>Ulmer v. Chancellor</u>, 691 F.2d 209, 213 (5th Cir. 1982).

We agree. The district court's order denying appointment of counsel is VACATED. The district court is directed forthwith to appoint counsel for Stuart.

^{*} Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

VACATED and REMANDED.