## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-10256

SOUTHERN CROWN, INC.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

## **VERSUS**

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT OF THE CITY OF DALLAS,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (3:91-CV-1954-P)

(February 3, 1995)

Before SMITH, BARKSDALE, and PARKER, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:\*

The court has reviewed the briefs, relevant authorities, and applicable portions of the record and has heard the arguments of counsel. We find no violation of constitutional rights and no error in the designation of the termination date for the nonconforming use. Nor is there error in the other rulings of the district court.

<sup>\*</sup>Local Rule 47.5.1 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

The judgment, accordingly, is AFFIRMED.