## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-7802 No. 93-7803 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

MICHAEL DEAN DANIELS,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC Nos. 93-CR-5-1 & CR-93-6-01 (July 20, 1994) Before POLITZ, Chief Judge, and JOLLY and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Michael Dean Daniels challenges the imposition of the \$500 fine imposed by the district court as part of his sentences on the counts of conviction. Daniels failed to object to the fine in the district court. Therefore, we review for plain error. <u>See United States v. Rodriguez</u>, 15 F.3d 408, 414-16 (5th Cir. 1994).

In <u>Rodriguez</u>, we declined to exercise our discretion to review a challenge to a fine, a fine imposed under similar

<sup>\*</sup> Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

No. 93-7802 No. 93-7803 -2-

circumstances as the case before us. For the reasons stated in <u>Rodriguez</u>, 15 F.3d at 416-17, we decline to exercise our discretion to consider the issue.

AFFIRMED.