## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 93-7156 Summary Calendar

KATE N. ASEME, M.D., ET AL.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

versus

HATTIESBURG AMERICAN, A PUBLICATION OF GANNETT RIVER STATES PUBLISHING CORPORATION,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi (CA-H90-0211 (P) (N))

(November 26, 1993)

Before GARWOOD, JONES, and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The district court granted summary judgment in this case because appellants had failed to demonstrate a genuine issue of material fact concerning whether defendant <a href="Hattiesburg American">Hattiesburg American</a> <a href="intended">intended</a> to interfere with appellants' medical practice by means of its publications. This decision is clearly correct.

<sup>\*</sup> Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

## <u>AFFIRMED</u>.