

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 93-7086  
Conference Calendar

---

TRENT L. COLEMAN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

RICHARD H. GORDON, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

- - - - -  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Mississippi  
USDC No. CA-GC-89-309-B-0  
- - - - -  
(December 15, 1993)

Before GARWOOD, JOLLY, and BARKSDALE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

We review the district court's denial of Trent L. Coleman's Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion, which pleaded fraud, for abuse of discretion. Wilson v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp., 873 F.2d 869, 871 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 977 (1989). Such a motion must be filed no later than one year after judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b); Wilson, 873 F.2d at 871-72. Coleman filed his motion 19 months after judgment. The district court's denial of the motion was not an abuse of discretion. Coleman's appeal is

---

\* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

frivolous. See Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 129-20 (5th Cir. 1983); 5th Cir. R. 42.2.

This appeal is the last in a long line of frivolous proceedings that Coleman has instituted to complain about his arrest and prosecution for driving offenses. Both state and federal courts have imposed sanctions on Coleman for his frivolous filings. See Coleman v. Gordon, No. 91-1378, slip op. at 3 (5th Cir. Apr. 9, 1992) (unpublished).

We order Coleman to pay sanctions in the amount of \$1000 to the Clerk of this Court. See Fed. R. App. P. 38; Clark v. Green, 814 F.2d 221, 223 (5th Cir. 1987). Until the sanction is paid in full, Coleman is barred from filing any further pleadings, either in the district courts of this Circuit or in this Court, without obtaining leave of court to do so.

APPEAL DISMISSED. See 5th Cir. R. 42.2.