

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-5345
(Summary Calendar)

IN THE MATTER OF:

RICHARD HENRY SHAW and
BRENDA DAWSON SHAW,

Debtors,

RICHARD HENRY SHAW and
BRENDA DAWSON SHAW,

Appellants,

versus

HOUSING AUTHORITY OF
LAKE PROVIDENCE, ET AL.,

Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Louisiana
(93-CV-318)

(November 14, 1994)

Before DUHÉ, WIENER and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

In this appeal we are asked to review the district court's judgment affirming the ruling of the bankruptcy court in an adversary proceeding filed by Debtors-Appellants, Richard W. Shaw and Brenda Dawson Shaw, against his former employer, the Housing Authority of the Town of Lake Providence (HA), its Executive Director, and members of its Board of Commissioners (collectively, Appellees). After three days of a non-jury trial before the bankruptcy judge, Appellants completed their case in chief, and, on oral motion of Appellees, a judgment was entered, as a matter of law, dismissing Appellants' claims pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(c). The bankruptcy court concluded that Shaw had failed to prove bankruptcy discrimination in violation of 11 U.S.C. § 525 in connection with his firing by the HA, and had also failed to prove that his firing was a result of racial discrimination in violation of his constitutional rights, which he pleaded by invoking 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983 and 1985. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158, Shaw appealed to the district court, which affirmed the bankruptcy court's order of dismissal, and Appellants timely appealed to this court.

After a thorough review of the record and the arguments of counsel set forth in their briefs filed in this appeal, we are convinced that the ruling of the district court, concluding that the bankruptcy court committed no reversible error and affirming that court's dismissal, is likewise free of reversible error. We are equally convinced that we would accomplish nothing of value and would only waste time, money and judicial resources by

writing additionally on this matter, given the clear, concise, complete and correct opinion of the district court filed on September 1, 1993. We therefore incorporate by reference that court's opinion in its entirety and annex a copy to this opinion.

The judgment of the district court, affirming the bankruptcy court's dismissal of Appellants' adversary proceeding against Appellees, is, in all respects,

AFFIRMED.