

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-4350

Summary Calendar

LONIE BROOKS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

FORD MOTOR CO.,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
(88-CV-26)

(July 26, 1994)

Before KING, HIGGINBOTHAM, and BARKSDALE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

I.

Lonie Brooks sued Ford Motor Co. after another vehicle hit her 1984 Lincoln Continental Mark VII from behind. Brooks lost her case and now requests that we grant her another trial because her counsel allegedly failed to provide effective assistance. We affirm.

*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

II.

Brooks wants a new trial because she received ineffective assistance of counsel. The Sixth Amendment right to effective assistance of counsel does not extend to civil cases. Sanchez v. United States Postal Serv., 785 F.2d 1236 (5th Cir. 1986). The fact that Brooks has no right to counsel in this case means that we will not consider any allegedly errors by her counsel.

AFFIRMED.