IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-2609 Conference Calendar

SANDRA G. WATERS, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

versus

STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL.,

Defendants,

CITY OF JERSEY VILLAGE AND SGT. COOPER,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. CA-H-90-691

. - - - - - - - -

June 30, 1995

Before JONES, WIENER, and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURTAM:*

Plaintiffs contend that the district court erred in granting summary judgment for Sergeant Cooper and the City of Jersey Village regarding a claim of unlawful arrest, stemming from Cooper's arrest of plainfiff Michael Hudson. However, the plaintiffs have provided only a portion of the record for this court to review.

^{*} Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

Plaintiffs had the burden of designating and creating the record on appeal to provide all relevant evidence to support their appellate argument. Fed. R. App. P. 10(b)(2), 11(a); United States v. Coveney, 995 F.2d 578, 587 (5th Cir. 1993). If the appellant fails to provide the necessary record for review of his issues, this court need not consider the appellant's issues and may dismiss the appeal. See Powell v. Estelle, 959 F.2d 22, 26 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 113 S. Ct. 668 (1992) (if appellant fails to provide transcript of hearing upon which appellate issues are based, the court is not required to consider them).

Because of the plaintiffs' specific designation to the district court to provide only certain documents for the record on appeal, this court does not have the necessary record for meaningful review of their argument that the district court erred in granting summary judgment for Cooper and Jersey Village.

Accordingly, plaintiffs' failure to provide the necessary record precludes review of this issue for appeal.

APPEAL DISMISSED.