## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-2548 Summary Calendar

ROLAND L. GOAD,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

JAY F. WILLIAMS,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. CA-H-93-0367 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

(January 7, 1994)

Before DUHÉ, EMILIO M. GARZA, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

Roland Lee Goad filed a complaint against the Director of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service in Denver, Colorado, alleging that the Director violated his state and federal constitutional rights, his state statutory rights, the Consumer Protection Act and the Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act by paying part of his Air Force retirement benefits to his former wife.

Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

In 1991 this Court ordered "all clerks of court under the jurisdiction of this court to refuse to accept any further filings from . . . Goad which in any way involve the payment of a portion of his Air Force retirement benefits to his former wife unless a judge of this court, or a judge of the forum district, first specifically authorizes the filing." Goad v. Rollins, 921 F.2d 69, 71 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 111 S.Ct. 1684 (1991).

There is no indication in the record that Goad was authorized to file his action in the district court, or take his appeal before this Court. For that reason Goad's appeal is dismissed as improvidently docketed.

For ignoring the previous orders of the Court requiring him to obtain permission of a judge before filing, Goad is sanctioned in the amount of \$200 payable to the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas at Houston for deposit with the United States Treasury. Clerks of Court are reminded that they are not to accept any further filings by Goad without consent of a judge and payment of this sanction.

APPEAL DISMISSED.