

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 93-2456

---

In the Matter of: TOMLINSON INTERESTS,  
INC., ET AL.,  
Debtors.

ALBERT F. THOMASSON, BURGESS A.  
THOMASSON, ET AL.,

Appellants,  
versus

OFFICIAL UNSECURED CREDITORS COMMITTEE  
and GARY J. KNOTSMAN, ETC., ET AL.

Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
(CA-H-92-506)

---

(May 9, 1994)

Before POLITZ, Chief Judge, DAVIS and WIENER, Circuit Judges

PER CURIAM:\*

This matter is before the court on appeal of a decision of the district court affirming the order of the bankruptcy court which confirmed a bankruptcy Plan of Reorganization of Tomlinson Interests, Inc. Appellants did not seek a stay of the bankruptcy

---

\*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

court's confirmation order and the plan was put into effect, finally resulting in dissolution of the corporation and distribution of all of its assets. Appellate review will not be granted when there has been such a comprehensive change in circumstances as to make it inequitable to consider the merits of an appeal. **Matter of Crystal Oil Co.**, 854 F.2d 79 (5th Cir. 1988). This we decline to do in the case at bar and the appeal is DISMISSED.