

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-2281

SUSAN E. COHEN, ETC., ET AL.,
Plaintiffs,
GEORGE GILMAN, EVELYN GILMAN, ET AL.,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,
versus
WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS, ET AL.,
Defendants-Appellees.

BROWNING-FERRIS INDUSTRIES, INC.,
and SALLY M. YEAGER,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,
versus
WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS,
Defendants-Appellees.

BROWNING-FERRIS INDUSTRIES, INC.,
MELVIN RONALD CARDONICK and
MICHAEL KORETSKY,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,
versus
WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS, ET AL.,
Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
(CA H 91 3142)

(March 22, 1994)

Before REAVLEY, GARWOOD, and HIGGINBOTHAM, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

We affirm for essentially the same reasons stated by the district court.

*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.