## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-1831 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CLARENCE WILLIAM BOSTON, JR., a/k/a Tim,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. CR-4-92-141-Y-12 (September 22, 1994)

Before KING, SMITH, and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

Court-appointed counsel for Clarence William Boston, Jr., has filed a brief as required by <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967), and we have independently reviewed counsel's brief, the points raised by Boston, and the record, and found no nonfrivolous issue. Accordingly, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED.

<sup>\*</sup> Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.