

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 92-5249
Summary Calendar

EDWARD EARL BLAKE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

VERSUS

BOLIVAR BISHOP, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Louisiana
(92 CV 0265)

October 6, 1993

Before SMITH, BARKSDALE, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Edward Blake appeals the dismissal, as frivolous, of his state prisoner's civil rights suit brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Blake states that, in an effort not to over-burden this court with repetitious argument, he submits his objections to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation as his argument on issues one and two of his brief. In Yohey v. Collins, 985 F.2d 222, 224-25 (5th

* Local Rule 47.5.1 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

Cir. 1993), we held that the pro se appellant abandoned some of his appellate arguments by failing to argue them in the body of his brief. We reach the same result here. "An [appellant's] original brief abandons all points not mentioned therein" Nissho-Iwai Co. v. Occidental Crude Sales, 729 F.2d 1530, 1539 n.14 (5th Cir. 1984) (brackets and ellipses in original).

The appeal, accordingly, is DISMISSED.