

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 92-4918
Conference Calendar

BOBBIE EARL SMITH,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

L.H. HOLCOMB, d/b/a/
Livezy Holcomb Autoplex,
ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

- - - - -
Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 6:92-CV-120
- - - - -
(December 15, 1993)

Before GARWOOD, JOLLY, and BARKSDALE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

In his brief Bobbie Earl Smith lists no issues nor makes any legal arguments regarding any alleged errors committed by the district court. Even according his pro se brief a liberal construction, see Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520, 92 S.Ct. 594, 39 L.Ed.2d 652 (1972), he has failed to offer anything that can be construed as an appellate argument. Consequently, he has not presented any issues for appellate review. See Yohey v.

* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

Collins, 985 F.2d 222, 225 (5th Cir. 1993); see also Price v. Digital Equip. Corp., 846 F.2d 1026, 1028 (5th Cir. 1988) (although pro se briefs are liberally construed, arguments must nevertheless be briefed to be preserved).

The appeal presents no issue of arguable merit and is thus frivolous. Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20, (5th Cir. 1983). Because the appeal is frivolous, it is DISMISSED.