IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 92-4206 Conference Calendar

TIMOTHY BLEDSOE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

CLINT MCALLEN, DAVID SHELBY, ERIC NOONAN,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 6:90-CV-542

March 18, 1993

Before KING, HIGGINBOTHAM, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Timothy Bledsoe has not provided this Court with a trial transcript. We have no way to review the sufficiency of the evidence adduced at trial. Richardson v. Henry, 902 F.2d 414, 416 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 111 S. Ct. 260 (1990).

Bledsoe had four opportunities to state a substantial question on appeal and demonstrate a particular need for a transcript at government expense. He twice moved for a transcript in the district court. He once moved in this Court,

^{*} Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

which delayed disposition of the motion to allow Bledsoe to plead with more specificity. He did not avail himself of that opportunity. Had he done so, a transcript may have been granted.

Id. Even at this late stage, Bledsoe has still provided this Court with no specific reason to order a transcript.

APPEAL DISMISSED.