

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 92-3346

THE HERTZ CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ERNEST LEE CAULFIELD,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
CA 89 4847 I

(October 27, 1993)

Before WISDOM, HIGGINBOTHAM, and SMITH, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

We granted oral argument because we thought appellants' asserted errors were best understood by examination of the context in which they were said to have occurred at trial. After oral argument, we are not persuaded that appellants have shown any error sufficient to warrant the grant of a new trial. Some were not preserved for review, and none were prejudicial, if an error at all.

AFFIRMED.

*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.