

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 92-3266

---

JOHN VONDERHAAR, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

versus

PARISH OF ST. TAMMANY, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Eastern District of Louisiana  
(CA 82 6 K)

---

(November 18, 1992)

Before WISDOM, JOLLY, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The denial of the preliminary injunction is affirmed, given the state of the record before us. Our ruling should in no way be construed as expressing any view on the ultimate merits of the plaintiffs' claims. If the district court concludes that issues of state law are presently pending before the courts of Louisiana in the concomitant state lawsuit, which are critical in the

---

\*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

determination of this case, the district court may, in its discretion, hold this case in abeyance until the final court in Louisiana has ruled.

A F F I R M E D.