

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
for the Fifth Circuit

No. 92-3131
Summary Calendar

RAYMOND ROCHON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

VERSUS

DORA RABALAIS, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Louisiana
(91-0147-A)

(February 26, 1993)

Before JOLLY, DUHÉ, and BARKSDALE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:¹

Appellant, a Louisiana state prison inmate, filed a civil rights suit against the governor and certain prison officials claiming that they violated his constitutional rights by denying his administrative complaint that he was being harassed and threatened by a prison guard. After several non-dispositive motions and two reports and recommendations from the magistrate judge, the district court granted the Defendant's motion for summary judgment and dismissed the inmate's claim. The inmate

¹ Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

appeals. We affirm.

We review summary judgment under the standards of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(c). To defeat such a motion, the inmate must have set forth specific facts showing a genuine issue as to a material fact. Fraire v. City of Arlington, 957 F.2d 1268, 1273 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 113 S. Ct. 462 (1992).

Our role in reviewing prison proceedings is a narrow one. Stewart v. Thigpen, 730 F.2d 1002, 1005 (5th Cir. 1984). "In reviewing prison administrative actions in § 1983 actions, the Court must uphold the administrative decision unless it was arbitrary and capricious." Id. Administrative decisions must be upheld if supported by "some facts" or "any evidence at all." Id. at 1006. The record in this case provides ample evidence to support the administrative decision. Defendants submitted the administrative complaints and the responses. They reflect adequate investigation. They turned up no evidence to support the allegation of harassment and threats and the inmate has offered none. He has not created a genuine issue as to material fact with respect to the handling of these administrative complaints.

AFFIRMED.