

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

)))))))))  
No. 92-2228  
)))))))))

WILMA BECKY CASH,  
Plaintiff-Appellant,  
Cross-Appellee,  
versus  
JEFFERSON ASSOCIATES, ET AL.,  
Defendants,  
LIMAS JEFFERSON,  
Defendant-Appellee,  
Cross-Appellant.

)))))))))  
No. 92-2777  
)))))))))

WILMA BECKY CASH,  
Plaintiff-Appellant,  
Cross-Appellee,  
versus  
LIMAS JEFFERSON  
Defendant-Appellee,  
Cross-Appellant.

)))))))))  
Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Southern District of Texas  
(CA H 90 460)  
)))))))))  
(November 30, 1994)

Before REYNALDO G. GARZA, SMITH and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM\*:

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for rehearing filed in the  
above case is GRANTED.

This Court lacks jurisdiction to review this case on appeal

---

\* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions  
that have no precedential value and merely decide particular  
cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes  
needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal  
profession."  
Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion  
should not be published.

because the magistrate judge lacked authority to enter a final judgment in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).<sup>1</sup> Therefore, we find that there exists no appealable order in this case.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the decision in the above case is VACATED, and the appeal is DISMISSED.

---

<sup>1</sup> Under *Archie v. Christian*, 808 F.2d 1132 (5th Cir. 1987), the problem could have been, and still can be, cured by the district court's entry of a judgment adopting the magistrate judge's order.