## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 92-1851 Conference Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

JIMMY WILSON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

NURSE DOROTHY ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 5:92-CV-056-C

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

March 17, 1993

Before KING, HIGGINBOTHAM, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.
PER CURTAM:\*

Jimmy Wilson's pleadings show that he did not suffer a serious need for medical treatment during the short period in which prison officials deliberately refused to allow him to take his prescription medication. His allegations therefore will not support a suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. See Johnson v. Treen, 759 F.2d 1236, 1238 (5th Cir. 1985). The dismissal of Wilson's in forma pauperis suit as frivolous was within the discretion of the district court. Ancar v. Sara Plasma, Inc., 964 F.2d 465, 468

<sup>\*</sup> Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

(5th Cir. 1992).

Wilson's motion for appointment of appellate counsel is DENIED. See <u>Ulmer v. Chancellor</u>, 691 F.2d 209, 212-13 (5th Cir. 1982).

AFFIRMED.