

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 91-7033

JOHN LELSZ, et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

ADVOCACY, INC.,

Intervening
Plaintiff-Appellee,

VERSUS

JOHN J. KAVANAGH, M.D., et al.,

Defendants-Appellees,

VERSUS

PARENT ASSOCIATION FOR THE
RETARDED OF TEXAS,

Intervenor-Appellant.

No. 92-1087

JOHN LELSZ, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

JOHN LELSZ, et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

VERSUS

CHARLES L. MEYER,
A Member of the Plaintiff Class,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

VERSUS

ADVOCACY, INC.,

Intervening
Plaintiff-Appellee,

VERSUS

JOHN L. KAVANAGH, M.D., Etc., et al.,

Defendants-Appellees,

VERSUS

PARENT ASSOCIATION FOR THE
RETARDED OF TEXAS
and

FREDA SNYDER,

By and Through Her Next Friends and Guardians
Fred and Ruth Snyder,

Intervenors-
Appellants.

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
(CA 3 85 2462 H)

(January 11, 1993)

Before REAVLEY, SMITH, and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

* Local Rule 47.5.1 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

In No. 91-7033, the appeal is DISMISSED, as the appellant has not listed the propriety of the September 4, 1991, order as an issue on appeal, nor does it provide any reasons, in its brief, as to why the order was inappropriate. Accordingly, the issue is waived. See Atwood v. Union Carbide Corp., 847 F.2d 278, 280 (5th Cir.) (per curiam), opinion on rehearing, 850 F.2d 1093 (5th Cir. 1988) (per curiam), cert. denied, 489 U.S. 1079 (1989).

In No. 92-1087, the judgment is AFFIRMED. We have reviewed the briefs, the record, and the argument of counsel and conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in approving the settlement.