

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 91-6126

KAJIMA INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

VULCRAFT DIVISION OF NUCOR
CORPORATION, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
For the Southern District of Texas

(CA H 88 2516)

(December 31, 1992)

Before GOLDBERG, JOLLY and WIENER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

In this Texas diversity subrogation action, Plaintiff-Appellant Kajima complains on appeal that the district court erred in granting judgment in favor of Defendants-Appellees, William H. McGee and Company, Yasuda Insurance Company, and Chubb Pacific

*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

Indemnity (collectively, "McGee"), in the amount of \$80,000, being the sum paid to McGee's insureds, Bridgestone Tire Company of America, Inc., for contents damages resulting from the collapse of the roof of Bridgestone's building, which had been constructed by Kajima. Also appealed by Kajima is the district court's award of attorneys' fees to McGee as Bridgestone's subrogees, as well as the district court's denial of Kajima's motion to correct the judgment to account for specified expenses incurred by Bridgestone and its insurers, that were deemed by the district court to be unreasonable.

Having carefully reviewed the pertinent parts of the record in this case, and after giving due consideration to the briefs and arguments of counsel, we find no reversible error. We conclude, therefore, that the judgment of the district court should be affirmed.

SO ORDERED.